IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW MEXICO

THE PUEBLO OF ISLETA, a federally-recognized Indian tribe, THE PUEBLO OF SANDIA, a federally-recognized Indian tribe, and THE PUEBLO OF TESUQUE, a federally-recognized Indian tribe,

Plaintiffs,

PUEBLO OF SANA ANA, a federallyrecognized Indian tribe and PUEBLO OF SANTA CLARA, a federally-recognized Indian tribe; and

PUEBLO OF SAN FELIPE, a federally-recognized Indian tribe,

Plaintiffs-in-Intervention,

v. No. 1:17-CV-00654-KG-KK

SUSANA MARTINEZ, in her official capacity as Governor of the State of New Mexico, JEFFREY S. LANDERS, in his official capacity as Chair of the Gaming Control Board of the State of New Mexico, RAECHELLE CAMACHO, in her official capacity as Acting State Gaming Representative, and SALVATORE MANIACI, in his official capacity as a member of the Gaming Control Board of the State of New Mexico,

Defendants.

JOINT STATUS REPORT AND PROVISIONAL DISCOVERY PLAN

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), a meeting was held on July 28, 2017, at the Rodey law firm in Albuquerque, New Mexico, and was attended by:

David C Mielke Sonosky, Chambers, Sachse, Mielke & Brownell, LLP 500 Marquette Ave. NW, Suite 660 Albuquerque, NM 87102 Telephone: (505) 247-0147 Fax: (505) 843-6912 dmielke@abqsonosky.com

Douglas B.L. Endreson
Frank S. Holleman
Sonosky, Chambers, Sachse, Endreson & Perry, LLP
1425 K St. NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20005
Telephone: (202) 682-0240
Fax: (202) 682-0249
dendreson@sonosky.com
fholleman@sonosky.com
Attorneys for Pueblo of Isleta and Pueblo of Sandia

Richard W. Hughes
Rothstein Donatelli LLP
1215 Paseo de Peralta
P.O. Box 8180
Santa Fe, NM 87504
505-988-8004 / Fax: 505-982-0307
rwhughes@rothsteinlaw.com
Attorneys for Pueblo of Santa Ana and Pueblo of Santa Clara

Gwenellen P Janov Janov Law Offices, PC 901 Rio Grande Blvd, NW, #F-144 Albuquerque, NM 87104 (505) 842-8302 gjanov@janovlaw.com

Joe M Tenorio
Tenorio Law Offices, PA
13383 Briarwood Drive
Broomfield, CO 80020
505-228-4823
joe@tenoriolawoffices.com
Attorneys for Pueblo of San Felipe

Thomas J Peckham Nordhaus Law Firm, LLP 6705 Academy Rd. NE, Suite A Albuquerque, NM 87109-3361 505-243-4275

Fax: 505-243-4464

tpeckham@nordhauslaw.com

Maxine R. Velasquez, Esq.
General Counsel
Pueblo of Tesuque
Rt. 42 Box 360-T
Santa Fe NM 87506
(505) 955-7701
mvelasquez@pueblooftesuque.org

Attorneys for Pueblo of Tesuque

Ed Ricco Krystle A. Thomas Rodey, Dickason Sloan, Akin & Robb, P.A. P. O. Box 1888 Albuquerque, NM 87103 Telephone: (505) 765-5900 FAX: (505) 768-7395

FAX: (505) 768-7395 ericco@rodey.com kthomas@rodey.com Attorneys for Defendants

NATURE OF THE CASE

This case arises from a dispute over the revenue-sharing provisions contained in the 2007 Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compacts entered into between Plaintiffs/Plaintiffs-in-Intervention (hereinafter, collectively, "Plaintiff Tribes") and the State of New Mexico. The State, through its Gaming Control Board, whose members (along with the State's Governor) are named Defendants in the case, has notified the Plaintiff Tribes that it believes that the Plaintiff Tribes owe the State revenue sharing payments based on the value of "free play" credits redeemed by the Plaintiff Tribes' customers at their tribally-owned casinos, and that it intends to pursue those claims to collect the money it contends is due. Plaintiff Tribes contend that the Defendants' position violates federal law, and seek declaratory and injunctive relief against Defendants.

Defendants deny all of the claims raised by Plaintiff Tribes and deny that Plaintiff Tribes are entitled to their requested relief.

AMENDMENTS TO PLEADINGS AND JOINDER OF PARTIES

Plaintiff Tribes intend to file: None at this time.

Plaintiff Tribes should be allowed until September 30, 2017, to move to amend the pleadings and to join additional parties in compliance with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a).

Defendants intend to file: None at this time.

Defendants should be allowed until October 31, 2017, to move to amend the pleadings and to join additional parties in compliance with the requirements of Fed. R. Civ. P. 15(a).

STIPULATIONS

The parties hereto stipulate and agree that venue is properly laid in this District; that the United States District Court for the District of New Mexico has jurisdiction of the parties and the subject matter.

The parties are willing to further stipulate to the following facts: Those allegations of the Complaint and the Complaints-in-Intervention that are admitted in the respective Answers.

PLAINTIFF TRIBES' CONTENTIONS

Plaintiff Tribes contend that the Defendants' position, that the Plaintiff Tribes owe the State revenue sharing payments based on the value of "free play" credits redeemed at Plaintiff Tribes' casinos, violates federal law and regulations and the clear language of the Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compact entered into between the Plaintiff Tribes and the State in 2007, and that Defendants should be enjoined from pursuing those claims against the Plaintiff Tribes.

DEFENDANTS' CONTENTIONS

Defendants deny all of the claims raised by the Plaintiff Tribes and deny that the Plaintiff Tribes are entitled to their requested relief. Defendants further state that the State of New Mexico should be joined in this matter pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 19 and/or that the State of New Mexico's claims against the Plaintiff Tribes should be consolidated with the present action. Defendants also assert those defenses set forth in their Answer.

PROVISIONAL DISCOVERY PLAN

The parties jointly propose to the Court the following discovery plan:

Plaintiff Tribes' Witnesses, Including Experts:

- 1. The executive director of each Plaintiff Tribe's gaming commission, each of whom would authenticate written communications between Plaintiff Tribes and Defendants:
 - a. Pueblo of Sandia: Tommy Simmons, Executive Director, Pueblo of Sandia
 Tribal Gaming Commission, 30 Rainbow Rd., Albuquerque, New Mexico
 87113.
 - b. Pueblo of Isleta: Georgene Louis, Executive Director, Pueblo of Isleta
 Gaming Regulatory Agency, 11100 Broadway SE, Albuquerque, New Mexico
 871105.
 - c. Pueblo of Tesuque: Jessica Baker, Executive Director, Pueblo of Tesuque Gaming Commission, P.O. Box 809, Tesuque, New Mexico 87574.
 - d. Pueblo of Santa Clara: Michael A. Ortiz, Executive Director, Santa Clara
 Pueblo Gaming Commission, P.O. Box 2688, Española, New Mexico 87532

- e. Pueblo of Santa Ana: Ron Montoya, Executive Director, Santa Ana Pueblo Gaming Regulatory Commission, 51 Jemez Canyon Dam Rd., Suite 103, Santa Ana Pueblo, New Mexico 87004.
- f. Pueblo of San Felipe: Kristie Smith, Executive Director, San Felipe Pueblo Gaming Regulatory Commission, 27 Hagen Rd., San Felipe, New Mexico 87001.
- Bruce Bleakman, 7425 Jefferson St. NE, Albuquerque, New Mexico 87109, who
 would provide expert testimony on the proper accounting treatment of free play under
 generally accepted accounting principles.

Plaintiff Tribes' Exhibits

- The 2007 Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compacts between the State and the Plaintiff
 Tribes.
- The 2015 Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compacts between the State and the Plaintiff
 Tribes.
- 3. Correspondence between the Plaintiff Tribes and the Defendants regarding the free play dispute beginning in April, 2017.
- 4. A draft Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compact that was negotiated between the State and the Navajo Nation in 2013.

Defendants' Witnesses, Including Experts

1. Current and former members of the New Mexico Gaming Control Board, each of whom may testify as to information and documents reviewed with respect to the calculation of revenue share payments, the terms of the 2007 Compact, the method of calculating revenue share following inspections permitted by the Compact,

communications with the Plaintiff Tribes regarding revenue share payments, and the authentication of these documents.

- a. Craig Telle, New Mexico Gaming Control Board, 4900 Alameda Blvd NE,
 Albuquerque, NM 87113.
- b. Rainier Kamplain, New Mexico Gaming Control Board, 4900 Alameda Blvd
 NE, Albuquerque, NM 87113.
- c. Raechelle Camacho, New Mexico Gaming Control Board, 4900 Alameda Blvd
 NE, Albuquerque, NM 87113.
- d. Jeffrey S. Landers, New Mexico Gaming Control Board, 4900 Alameda Blvd NE, Albuquerque, NM 87113.
- e. Paulette Becker, New Mexico Gaming Control Board, 4900 Alameda Blvd NE, Albuquerque, NM 87113.
- 2. Jeremiah Ritchie, formerly with the Office of the Governor of the State of New Mexico, 6001 Indian School Road NE, Suite 400, Albuquerque, NM 87110. Mr. Ritchie may testify regarding compact negotiation and specific compact provisions.
- 3. Defendants are in the process of identifying expert witnesses and will disclose them as required by the Court and the applicable Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
- 4. The executive directors of each Plaintiff Tribe's gaming commission, past and present.
- 5. Any witnesses listed by the Plaintiff Tribes.
- 6. Any witnesses identified in discovery.
- 7. Any witnesses needed to authenticate documents.
- 8. Rebuttal witnesses as necessary.

Defendants' Exhibits

- The 2007 Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compacts between the State and the Plaintiff
 Tribes.
- The 2015 Tribal-State Class III Gaming Compacts between the State and the Plaintiff
 Tribes.
- 3. Correspondence between the Plaintiff Tribes and the Defendants regarding the free play dispute beginning in April, 2017.
- 4. Documents related to free play calculations.
- 5. Documents provided by the Plaintiff Tribes as part of inspections by the Office of the State Gaming Representative related to gaming activity and revenue.
- 6. Correspondence between the parties dating back to 2012.
- Defendants reserve the right to introduce any documents identified by the Plaintiff
 Tribes and other documents and items produced in discovery.

Plaintiff Tribes contend that, other than possibly depositions of persons identified as potential witnesses, there should be little need for discovery as there are few if any material facts in dispute.

Defendants contend that discovery will be needed on all subjects related to the Complaint and the Answer.

All parties in this case will submit their Rule 26 initial disclosures by September 22, 2017.

Maximum of 25 interrogatories, including all discrete subparts, by each party to any other party. (Responses due 30 days after service).

Maximum of 25 requests for production, including all discrete subparts, by each party to any other party. (Responses due 30 days after service).

Maximum of 25 requests for admission by each party to any other party. (Response due 30 days after service).

Maximum of twelve (12) depositions by Plaintiffs/Plaintiffs-in-Intervention and twelve (12) by Defendants.

Each deposition of all parties and witnesses limited to maximum of seven (7) hours unless extended by agreement of parties.

Reports from retained experts under Rule 26(a)(2) due:

from Plaintiff Tribes by January 15, 2018;

from Defendants by February 15, 2018.

Supplementation under Rule 26(e) shall be due as set forth in Rule 26.

All discovery commenced in time to be completed by March 15, 2018.

Confidentiality:

All financial information and documents produced by the Plaintiff Tribes to the Defendants up to this time are Confidential pursuant to the Compact. At such time, if ever, that additional financial information is ordered to be produced in discovery, Plaintiff Tribes will request that the Court enter a Confidentiality Order.

PRETRIAL MOTIONS

Plaintiff Tribes intend to file: Motion for summary judgment and motions in limine.

Defendants intend to file: Motion for summary judgment and motions in limine.

ESTIMATED TRIAL TIME

Plaintiff Tribes expect that this case will be resolved on motions for summary judgment, and that no trial will be necessary.

Defendants estimate trial will require 5 days.	
This is a non-jury case.	
This is a jury case.	
Defendants request a pretrial conference 30 days prior to trial.	
<u>SETTLEMENT</u>	
The possibility of settlement in this case is poor. The parties propose a settlement	
conference after dispositive motions have been filed.	
EXCEPTIONS	
The Defendants have demanded a jury trial. The Plaintiff Tribes do not agree that there	
is a right to a jury trial in this case and reserve their right to move to strike the jury demand.	
	APPROVED WITH/WITHOUT EXCEPTIONS
Dated: September 15, 2017	RODEY, DICKASON, SLOAN, AKIN & ROBB, P.A.
	By /s/Krystle A. Thomas Nelson Franse Ed Ricco Krystle A. Thomas P. O. Box 1888 Albuquerque, NM 87103 Telephone: (505) 765-5900 FAX: (505) 768-7395 nfranse@rodey.com ericco@rodey.com kthomas@rodey.com Attorneys for Defendants

SONOSKY, CHAMBERS, SACHSE, MIELKE & BROWNELL, LLP

By _____ Approved via e-mail 9/15/17

David C Mielke

500 Marquette Ave. NW, Suite 660

Albuquerque, NM 87102

Telephone: (505) 247-0147

Fax: (505) 843-6912

dmielke@abqsonosky.com

-and-

SONOSKY, CHAMBERS, SACHSE, ENDRESON & PERRY, LLP

By ______ Approved via e-mail 9/15/17

Douglas B.L. Endreson

Frank S. Holleman

1425 K St. NW, Suite 600

Washington, DC 20005

Telephone: (202) 682-0240

Fax: (202) 682-0249

dendreson@sonosky.com

fholleman@sonosky.com

Attorneys for Pueblo of Isleta and Pueblo of Sandia

ROTHSTEIN DONATELLI, LLP

By Approved via e-mail 9/15/17

Richard W. Hughes

Donna M. Connelly

Reed C. Bienvenu

1215 Paseo de Peralta

P.O. Box 8180

Santa Fe, NM 87504

505-988-8004 / Fax: 505-982-0307

rwhughes@rothsteinlaw.com

dconnolly@rothsteinlaw.com

rbienvenu@rothsteinlaw.com

Attorneys for Pueblo of Santa Ana and Pueblo of Santa

Clara

JANOV LAW OFFICES, PC

By <u>Approved via e-mail 9/15/17</u>
Gwenellen P Janov
901 Rio Grande Blvd, NW, #F-144
Albuquerque, NM 87104
(505) 842-8302
gjanov@janovlaw.com
Attorneys for Pueblo of San Felipe

TENORIO LAW OFFICES, PA

By Approved via e-mail 9/15/17
Joe M Tenorio
13383 Briarwood Drive
Broomfield, CO 80020
505-228-4823
joe@tenoriolawoffices.com
Attorneys for Pueblo of San Felipe

NORDHAUS LAW FIRM, LLP

By <u>Approved via e-mail 9/15/17</u>
Thomas J Peckham
6705 Academy Rd. NE, Suite A
Albuquerque, NM 87109-3361
505-243-4275
tpeckham@nordhauslaw.com
Attorneys for Pueblo of Tesuque

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on September 15, 2017, I filed the foregoing pleading electronically through the CM/ECF system, which caused all parties or counsel to be served by electronic means, as more fully reflected on the Notice of Electronic Filing.

RODEY, DICKASON, SLOAN, AKIN & ROBB, P.A.

By <u>/s/ Krystle A. Thomas</u>
Krystle A. Thomas